Superior Court of Washington, County of ______ In re parentage: Petitioner (person who started this case):

And Respondents:

(parent / presumed parent / possible genetic parent)

Findings and Conclusions about De Facto Parentage (FNFCL)

No.

Findings and Conclusions about De Facto Parentage

Use this form together with either a Final Parentage Order (form FL Parentage 316) or a Final Order Denying Parentage Petition (form FL Parentage 317).

1. Basis for findings and conclusions (check one):

- [] Parties' agreement
- [] Trial for this case on (date): ______, with the following people present: (Names): ______

2. Child

This case is about whether Petitioner is the de facto parent of:

Child's name (first, middle, last)	Born (month/day/year)	Lives in (county and state)

(If multiple children are listed, change "child" to "children" in this form as needed.)

> The court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

3. Indian child

(An **Indian child** is a child who is a member of an Indian tribe, or who is the biological child of an Indian tribe member and is eligible for membership.)

- [] The child is **not** an Indian child. The state and federal *Indian Child Welfare Acts* do not apply to this case. The court makes this conclusion because (check all that apply):
 - [] the Petitioner made a good faith effort to find out if the child in this case is an Indian child. (RCW 13.38.050.) The court has received no information showing that this child is or may be an Indian child.
 - [] the Petitioner notified the tribal agent of every tribe the child may have been eligible for membership in. *List tribes notified*:

Each tribe responded that the child was not a tribal member and is not eligible for membership.

- [] other (specify):
- [] The child **is** an Indian child because the child is a member of or is eligible for membership in *(tribe/s):*______

The federal and state Indian Child Welfare Acts apply to this case.

Notice to tribes – The Petitioner [] provided [] did **not** provide the required *Indian Child Welfare Act Notice* (form GDN M 401) and a copy of the *Petition* to the agent for the tribe/s named above, the parents, and any Indian custodian.

Evidence – The evidentiary requirements of the Acts [] have [] have **not** been met as described below. (RCW 13.38.130)

- Active efforts The following active efforts were made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family: (Active efforts means "a documented, concerted, and good faith effort to facilitate the parent's or Indian custodian's receipt of and engagement in" those services and programs. RCW 13.38.040. Describe active efforts made.)
- Serious emotional or physical damage The court considered testimony from a qualified expert witness as defined in RCW 13.38.130. The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the child (check one): [] would [] would not likely suffer serious emotional or physical damage if they lived with either parent or an Indian custodian. The Court makes this conclusion because:

4. Jurisdiction over Indian child

- [] Does not apply. The child is not an Indian child.
- [] This court **cannot** decide this case for the Indian child because this court does not have jurisdiction over them. The *Petition* should be dismissed.
- [] This court **can** decide this case for the Indian child because (check one):
 - [] The child is **not** domiciled or living on an Indian reservation, and is not the ward of a tribal court. (25 USC § 1911)
 - [] The child **is** domiciled or living on an Indian reservation, or is the ward of a tribal court, however (*check all that apply*):
 - [] The child's tribe agrees to Washington State's concurrent jurisdiction.
 - [] The child's tribe decided not to use its exclusive jurisdiction (expressly declined). (RCW 13.38.060)
 - [] Washington State should claim emergency jurisdiction for the child who is temporarily located off the reservation to protect the child from immediate physical damage or harm. (RCW 13.38.140)
- [] Other (specify): _____

5. Guardian ad Litem

- [] No Guardian ad Litem (GAL) was appointed.
- [] The Court appointed *(name):* Guardian ad Litem (GAL) for the child.

The court has considered the report and recommendations of the GAL about *(check all that apply):*

- [] Parentage
- [] Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule
- [] Other (specify):
- [] Other (specify):

6. Notice and Personal Jurisdiction

All people with a right to receive notice of this case were served with the *Summons* and *Petition*.

Basis for Personal Jurisdiction (check all that apply)	Respondent's Name:	Other Respondent's Name:
Was served in Washington	[]	[]
Lives in Washington now	[]	[]
Lived in Washington with child	[]	[]

Lived in Washington and paid pregnancy costs or support for child	[]	[]
Caused child to live in Washington	[]	[]
Had sex in Washington that may have produced the child	[]	[]
Agrees to Washington deciding	[]	[]
None of the above	[]	[]

Conclusion: The court has personal jurisdiction over all parties to this case,

[]	except	(name/s): _
---	---	--------	-------------

[] Other findings or conclusions (if any)

> De Facto Parentage

- [] Findings and conclusions that address the questions in **7 13** are attached or filed separately. (*Skip to 14.*)
- [] Findings and conclusions are below in 7 13. (Add lines as needed.)

7. Residence for a significant period

Did Petitioner live with the child as a regular member of the child's household for a significant period?

- [] No. The petition must be denied.
- [] Yes.

This conclusion is based on the following facts:

8. Caretaking/Parenting responsibilities

Did Petitioner provide consistent caretaking for the child and undertake full and permanent parenting responsibilities without expectation of being paid?

[] No. The petition must be denied.

[] Yes.

This conclusion is based on the following facts:

9. Holding out

Did Petitioner hold the child out as their own?

[] No. The petition must be denied.

[] Yes.

This conclusion is based on the following facts:

10. Bonded Relationship

Did Petitioner have a bonded and dependent parental relationship with the child?

[] No. The petition must be denied.

[] Yes.

This conclusion is based on the following facts:

11. Parent Fostered/Supported Relationship

Did at least one of the child's parents foster or support Petitioner's bonded and dependent relationship with the child?

[] No. The petition must be denied.

[] Yes.

This conclusion is based on the following facts:

12. Best interest

Is it in the child's best interest for the relationship with Petitioner to continue?

[] No. The petition must be denied.

[] Yes.

This conclusion is based on the following facts:

13. Conclusion about de facto parentage

The court should:

- [] **Deny** the petition.
- [] **Order** that the Petitioner is a legal parent.

> Parenting Plan / Residential Schedule

14. Jurisdiction over the child (RCW 26.27.201 – .221, .231, .261, .271)

- [] Does not apply because the petition should be denied or no one has requested a parenting plan or residential schedule.
- [] The court **cannot** approve a *Parenting Plan* or *Residential Schedule* for the child or decide who the child should live with most of the time because the court does not have jurisdiction over the child.
- [] The court **can** approve a *Parenting Plan* or *Residential Schedule* for the child and decide who the child should live with most of the time because (*check all that apply*):
 - [] **Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction** A Washington court has already made a custody order or parenting plan for the child, and the court still has authority to make other orders for the child.
 - [] **Home state jurisdiction** Washington is the child's home state because *(check all that apply):*
 - [] The child lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent for at least the six months just before this case was filed, or if the child was less than six months old when the case was filed, the child had lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent since birth.
 - [] There were times the child was not in Washington in the six months just before this case was filed (or since birth if they were less than six months old), but those were temporary absences.
 - [] The child does not live in Washington now, but Washington was the child's home state sometime in the six months just before this case was filed, and a parent or someone acting as a parent of the child still lives in Washington.
 - [] The child does not have another home state.
 - [] No home state or home state declined No court of any other state (or tribe) has the jurisdiction to make decisions for the child, or a court in the child's home state (or tribe) decided it is better to have this case in Washington and:
 - The child and a parent or someone acting as a parent have ties to Washington beyond just living here; **and**
 - There is a lot of information (substantial evidence) about the child's care, protection, education and relationships in this state.
 - [] Other state declined The courts in other states (or tribes) that might be the child's home state have refused to take this case because it is better to have this case in Washington.

- [] **Temporary emergency jurisdiction** Washington had temporary emergency jurisdiction over the child when the case was filed, and now has jurisdiction to make a final custody decision because:
 - When the case was filed, the child was abandoned in this state, or the child was in this state and the child (or the child's parent, brother, or sister) was abused or threatened with abuse;
 - The court signed a temporary order on (date) ______ saying that Washington's jurisdiction will become final if no case is filed in the child's home state (or tribe) by the time the child has been in Washington for six months;
 - The child has now lived in Washington for six months; and
 - No case concerning the child has been started in the child's home state (or tribe).
- [] Other reason (specify):

15. Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule

- [] Does not apply because the petition should be denied or the court does not have jurisdiction over the child.
- [] The court should **not** enter a parenting plan or residential schedule, or designate a custodian, because no one requested one.
 - [] The parents and child are all living together.
- [] The court signed the final *Parenting Plan* or *Residential Schedule* filed separately today or on *(date):*_____.

The plan or schedule is approved (check one):

- [] by default. The court considered the court record.
- [] by agreement of both parents without a contested hearing. The court considered the parties' agreement and the following evidence, if any:
- [] after a summary judgment hearing. The court considered the evidence listed in the *Summary Judgment Order*.
- [] after trial. The court considered all of the evidence admitted at trial.
- [] Other (specify):

> Other Requests

16. Child's Name

- [] The child's name should **not** be changed.
- [] The child's name should be changed as listed on the Final Parentage Order.

17. Birth Record

[] The birth record should **not** be changed.

[] The birth certificate and any other birth record should be changed as listed on the *Final Parentage Order*.

18. Child Support

- [] Does not apply because the petition should be denied.
- [] The child should be supported according to state law. The court signed the final *Child Support Order* and *Worksheets* filed separately today or on *(date)*:_____.
- [] The court is not making a decision about child support now because:
- [] Other (specify):

19. Protection Order

- [] Does not apply because the petition should be denied.
- [] No one requested an Order for Protection in this case.
- [] (Name): ______ requested an Order for Protection in this case.

Conclusion: The court should (check one):

[] not approve an Order for Protection because:

[] approve an Order for Protection because:

20. Restraining Order

- [] Does not apply because the petition should be denied.
- [] No one requested a *Restraining Order* in this case.
- [] (Name): ______ requested a Restraining Order.

Conclusion: The court should:

- [] not approve a Restraining Order because:
- [] approve a Restraining Order because:

21. Fees and costs

[] Each party should pay his or her own fees and costs.

[] (Name):				incurred fees and costs. should be n the <i>Final Parentage Order</i> . The court finds that		
		the amount ordered is reason		-		
[] Fees for a Guardian ad Litem (GAL) of paid as listed in the <i>Final Parentage</i> of reasonable.				r other court-appointed professional shou Drder. The court finds the fees as ordered		
[] Other findings:						
22. Other findings or conclusions (if any)						
		•				
Date)	JL	udge or (Commissioner		
Doti	tion	er and Respondent/s or th	oir law	vers fill out below		
		ument (check any that apply):		This document (check any that apply):		
[] is	an a	greement of the parties		[] is an agreement of the parties		
		sented by me	ne to me	[] is presented by me[] may be signed by the court without notice	to me	
L]	ay b	e signed by the court without hold		[] I may be signed by the court without house		
Petitic	oner	signs here or Lawyer signs here + WS	SBA #	Respondent signs here or Lawyer signs here + V	VSBA #	
Print I	Nam	e	Date	Print Name	Date	
This	doc	ument (check any that apply):		This document (check any that apply):		
		agreement of the parties		[] is an agreement of the parties		
		sented by me e signed by the court without notic	ce to me	[] is presented by me[] may be signed by the court without notice	e to me	
•				•		
Other	Res	pondent or Lawyer signs here + WSE	3A #	Other party or Guardian ad Litem signs here		
Print l	Nam	e	Date	Print Name	Date	